

# **Multi-Strategy**

## Q1 2024 Commentary

The beat that supported the notable rally in the equity and fixed income markets towards the end of 2023 was begun by the Federal Reserve and fully carried on by investors in the first quarter of this year. The strong pivot in the Fed's message to be a legion of support to the markets into the current year has extended the comforting degree of calm we previously noted in the monetary efforts they supply. We still believe, and as the data appears to show, the best near-term outcome from all the interest rate activity of recent years is for there not to be immediate rate cuts, but moreso, a steady rate environment where lending modules and borrowing costs can be sufficiently predictable for all market participants.

Economic conditions have meandered a bit since the stabilizing pattern seen in the fourth quarter of 2023, with hiring pacing the environment at roughly +250k jobs per month and generating economic growth in the neighborhood of 2.5%-3.0% annually. Yes, while inflation data is no longer falling, the massive reduction in the inflation rate since the Fed began their record march upward on short-term interest modules is still noteworthy and a perceivable accomplishment of their goal of stable prices and maximum employment. Concerns do remain focused, at times, on the overbought nature of stock prices, but that is observed in concert with trillions of investible dollars sitting and rotating on and off the sidelines which may provide a backstop to any meaningful pullback that occurs. Our view has steadied to the upside approach to the investible marketplace and belief that investors who will be most rewarded are those that ascribe to this outlook.

**Equities:** The "risk on" trade in equity markets that began late last year has stymied some experts thus far in 2024, carrying on most of the momentum that, to many, came out of nowhere towards the end of 2023. Yet, as we identified in the fourth quarter's writing – meaningful rallies have the hallmark of coming out of potentially oversold, overstretched or overhyped conditions that may be propagated by media and influencers who shape the investor mindscape.

Real data and fundamentals, however, tend to be the harbinger to continue such rallies as well, acting more as a confirming aspect to turnaround stories that have otherwise been at least partially discounted and put out of mind. As higher equity prices were attained, additional demands from investors on the Federal Reserve became a touch unrealistic and erroneously focused on more liquidity and accommodation to be provided to what we believe was an already functioning market.

**Fixed Income:** Fixed income markets have relished, at points, in a more calming tone in the new year as the focus on interest rate stability takes a firmer hold and Federal Reserve actions may become more predictable going forward. We still believe the historical volatility in fixed income prices this past year has unintentionally removed investor confidence in the asset class in

## Performance & Risk Measures

As of: 3/31/2024							
STRATEGY		QTD	YTD	1-YR	3-YR	5-YR	SINCE INCEPTION 12/31/2016
CONSERVATIVE	NET OF MODEL FEE <sup>1</sup>	0.25%	0.25%	2.95%	-1.04%	1.10%	1.90%
	NET OF MAX FEE <sup>2</sup>	-0.12%	-0.12%	1.42%	-2.52%	-0.41%	0.38%
10% S&P 500/90% BLOOMBERG AGGREGATE BOND		0.33%	0.33%	4.28%	-1.06%	1.87%	2.51%
MODERATE CONSERVATIVE	NET OF MODEL FEE <sup>1</sup>	3.23%	3.23%	9.84%	2.05%	4.41%	4.41%
	NET OF MAX FEE <sup>2</sup>	2.85%	2.85%	8.21%	0.53%	2.86%	2.86%
30% S&P 500/70% BLOOMBERG AGGREGATE BOND		2.56%	2.56%	9.60%	1.74%	4.86%	5.24%
BALANCED	NET OF MODEL FEE <sup>1</sup>	4.96%	4.96%	14.13%	3.89%	6.45%	6.26%
	NET OF MAX FEE <sup>2</sup>	4.57%	4.57%	12.45%	2.35%	4.88%	4.68%
50% S&P 500/50% BLOOMBERG AGGREGATE BOND		4.81%	4.81%	15.12%	4.53%	7.83%	7.93%
MODERATE AGGRESSIVE	NET OF MODEL FEE <sup>1</sup>	6.78%	6.78%	18.62%	5.78%	8.42%	8.30%
	NET OF MAX FEE <sup>2</sup>	6.39%	6.39%	16.87%	4.21%	6.81%	6.70%
70% S&P 500/30% BLOOMBERG AGGREGATE BOND		7.09%	7.09%	20.85%	7.31%	10.75%	10.59%
AGGRESSIVE	NET OF MODEL FEE <sup>1</sup>	9.98%	9.98%	26.17%	8.58%	12.77%	11.75%
	NET OF MAX FEE <sup>2</sup>	9.58%	9.58%	24.33%	6.98%	11.11%	10.10%
90% S&P 500/10% BLOOMBERG AGGREGATE BOND		9.40%	9.40%	26.80%	10.09%	13.62%	13.20%

<sup>1</sup>"Net of Model Fee" represents performance that has factored in an assumed fee of zero for data after March 24, 2021, 0.40% from April 1, 2020 through March 23, 2021 and 0.60% prior to April 1, 2020. 2 "Net of Max Fee" represents performance that has factored in an assumed fee of 1.50% (zero Model Fee plus 1.50% Advisor Fee) for data after March 24, 2021, 1.90% (0.40% Model Fee plus 1.50% Advisor Fee) from April 1, 2020 through March 23, 2021 and 2.10% (0.60% Model Fee plus 1.50% Advisor Fee) prior to April 1, 2020."

general and refocused the risk appetite of investors to look elsewhere for determinable yield. While some fixed income spots will still be finding feast or famine on any given trading day, we believe that risk exposure may be likely to come down in traditional yielding vehicles as economic data affirms.

Similar to last quarter, sentiment does pose an entirely different challenge to the overall picture. Through the last year or so, trading across multiple levels of fixed income was very directionally biased to the downside, so some reversal of that weight on the wheels is normal to experience. Thus, rates on the 10-year note, for example, at the end of the first quarter have traded with a slightly higher bias than the previous quarter, but still sit within what we believe is a manageable range of 4.25% - 4.50%. Fixed income investing may still be beneficial into 2024, provided the recent trend of a lowering of volatility continues and the Federal Reserve, as we predict, stays on the sidelines for the near term when it comes to Fed Funds interest rate changes.

### Lead Portfolio Manager



Scott Martin, CIMA<sup>®</sup> Chief Investment Officer and Lead Portfolio Manager

Should you wish to speak with one of our portfolio managers, please email <u>investments@kingsview.com</u>.

Kingsview Wealth Management ("KWM") is an investment adviser registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). Information presented is for educational purposes only and does not intend to make an offer or solicitation for the sale or purchase of any specific securities, investments strategies. Investments involve risk and unless otherwise stated, are not guaranteed.

Kingsview Investment Management ("KIM") is the internal portfolio management group of KWM. KIM asset management services are offered to KWM clients through KWM IARs. KIM asset management services are also offered to non KWM clients and unaffiliated advisors through model leases, solicitor agreements and model trading agreements. KWM clients utilizing asset management services provided by KIM will incur charges in addition to the KWM advisory fee.

This material has been prepared by Kingsview Wealth Management, LLC. It is not, and should not, be regarded as investment advice or as a recommendation regarding any particular security or course of action. Opinions expressed herein are current opinions as of the date appearing in this material only. All investments entail risks. There is no guarantee that investment strategies will achieve the desired results under all market conditions and each investor should evaluate their ability to invest for the long term. This information does not address individual situations and should not be construed or viewed as any typed of individual or group recommendation. Be sure to first consult with a qualified financial adviser, tax professional, and/or legal course! before implementing any securities, investments, or investment strategies discussed.

Any performance shown since inception is based upon composite results of the stated portfolio. Portfolio performance is the result of the application of the KIM Multi-Strategy investment process. It does not reflect any investor's actual experience with owning, trading or managing an actual investment account.

"Net of Model Fee" portfolio performance is shown net of the trading costs of the firm's Custodians (Raymond James, Charles Schwab, TD Ameritrade and Interactive Brokers) and a zero KIM model fee after March 24, 2021, a 0.40% KIM model fee prior to April 1, 2020. "Net Model Fee" portfolio performance DOES NOT include the advisory fee charged by a KWM investment advisor representative.

"Net of Max Fees" portfolio performance is shown net of the trading costs of the firm's Custodians (Raymond James, Charles Schwab, TD Ameritrade and Interactive Brokers) and advisory fees of 1.50% after March 24, 2021, advisory fees of 1.90%, which is inclusive of a 0.60% KIM model fee, from April 1, 2020 through March 23, 2021, and advisory fees of 2.10%, which is inclusive of a 0.60% KIM model fee prior to April 1, 2020 through March 23, 2021, and advisory fees of 2.10%, which is inclusive of a 0.60% KIM model fee, from April 1, 2020 through March 23, 2021, and advisory fees of 2.10%, which is inclusive of a 0.60% KIM model fee, from April 1, 2020 through March 23, 2021, and advisory fees of 2.10%, which is inclusive of a 0.60% KIM model fee, from April 1, 2020 through March 23, 2021, and advisory fees of 2.10%, which is inclusive of a 0.60% KIM model fee, from April 1, 2020 through March 23, 2021, and advisory fees of 2.10%, which is inclusive of a 0.60% KIM model fee, from April 1, 2020 through March 23, 2021, and advisory fees of 2.10%, which is inclusive of a 0.60% KIM model fee, from April 1, 2020 through March 24, 2021, and advisory fees of 2.10%, which is inclusive of a 0.60% KIM model fee, from April 1, 2020 through March 24, 2021, and advisory fees of 2.10%, which is inclusive of a 0.60% KIM model fee, from April 1, 2020 through March 24, 2021, and advisory fees of 2.10%, which is inclusive of a 0.60% KIM model fee, from April 1, 2020 through March 24, 2021, and advisory fees of 1.50% and the set of the original term of the represented to the original term of the trade used to calculate the portfolio performance was obtained from sources deemed reliable and then organized and presented by KWM.

Actual performance of client portfolios may differ materially due to the timing related to additional client deposits or withdrawals and the actual deployment and investment of a client portfolio, the reinvestment of dividends, the length of time various positions are held, the client's objectives and restrictions, and fees and expenses incurred by any specific individual portfolio.

Benchmarks: The KIM Multi Strategy Conservative model performance is compared to a blended index comprised of a 90% weighting in the Bloomberg Total Bond Index (AGG) and a 10% weighting in the S&P 500 Total Return index (SPTR). The KIM Multi Strategy Moderate Conservative model performance is compared to a blended index comprised of a 70% weighting in the Bloomberg Total Bond Index (AGG) and a 30% weighting in the S&P 500 Total Return index (SPTR). The KIM Multi Strategy Balanced model performance is compared to a blended index comprised of a 50% weighting in the Bloomberg Total Bond Index (AGG) and a 30% weighting in the S&P 500 Total Return index (SPTR). The KIM Multi Strategy Balanced model performance is compared to a blended index comprised of a 50% weighting in the Bloomberg Total Bond Index (AGG) and a 50% weighting in the S&P 500 Total Return index (SPTR). The KIM Multi Strategy Moderate Aggressive model performance is compared to a blended index comprised of a 30% weighting in the Bloomberg Total Bond Index (AGG) and a 70% weighting in the S&P 500 Total Return index (SPTR). The KIM Multi Strategy Aggressive model performance is compared to a blended index comprised of a 30% weighting in the Bloomberg Total Bond Index (AGG) and a 70% weighting in the S&P 500 Total Return index (SPTR). The KIM Multi Strategy Aggressive model performance is compared to a blended index comprised of a 30% weighting in the Bloomberg Total Bond Index (AGG) and a 70% weighting in the S&P 500 Total Return index (SPTR). The KIM Multi Strategy Aggressive model performance is compared to a blended index comprised of a 10% weighting in the Bloomberg Total Bond Index (AGG) and a 70% weighting in the S&P 500 Total Return index (SPTR). The KIM Multi Strategy Aggressive model performance is compared to a blended index comprised of a 10% weighting in the Bloomberg Total Bond Index (AGG) and a 90% weighting in the S&P 500 Total Return index (SPTR).

The S&P 500 Total Return Index is the total return version of the S&P 500 Index which includes the effects of reinvested dividends. The S&P 500 Index is a capitalization-weighted index of 500 stocks designed to measure performance of the broad domestic economy through changes in the aggregate market value of 500 stocks representing all major industries.

The Bloomberg US Aggregate Bond Total Return Index is an index designed to provide a measure of the performance of the U.S. investment grade bonds market, which includes investment grade U.S. Government bonds, investment grade corporate bonds, mortgage pass through-securities and asset-backed securities that are publicly offered for sale in the United States. The securities in the index must have at least 1 year remaining to maturity. In addition, the securities must be denominated in US dollars and must be fixed rate, nonconvertible, and taxable.

The index results do not reflect fees and expenses and you typically cannot invest in an index. The index/indices used by KWM have not been selected to represent an appropriate benchmark to compare an investor's performance, but rather are disclosed to allow for comparison of the investor's performance to that of certain well-known and widely recognized indices. Indices are typically not available for direct investment, are unmanaged and do not incur fees or expenses.

The firm claims compliance with GIPS®, For a complete list and description of the firm's composites and / or a presentation that adheres to the GIPS standards, contact gips@ kingsview.com. For purposes of compliance with the Global Investment Performance Standards (GIPS®), the "firm" refers to only Kingsview.Investment Management.

For the purpose of complying with the Global Investment Performance Standards (GIPS) standards, Kingsview Wealth Management "KVM" and Kingsview Investment Management "KIM, the firm" are separate and distinct entities. Kingsview Wealth Management is an independent investment advisor registered under the Investment Advisors Act of 1940. KVM manages across a wide scope with many independent advisors and does not claim compliance with the GIPS Standards. Kingsview Investment Management "KIM" is a subset of Kingsview Wealth Management where KIM retains the sole investment decision under KIM, and all composites and models under KIM are maintained consistent with the GIPS Standards, and KIM does wish to claim compliance with the GIPS Standards.

### PAST PERFORMANCE IS NO GUARANTEE OF FUTURE RESULTS